HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries - Coho (Type S)

March 1997

HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries - Coho (Type S)

An Independent Audit Based on Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) Performance Measures

Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson 2375 130th Avenue NE Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98005

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy Bonneville Power Administration Environment, Fish and Wildlife P.O. Box 3621 Portland, OR 97208-3621

Project Number 95-2 Contract Number 95AC49468

March 1997

CONTENTS

Section	n 1 Executive Summary1-1
Section	n 2 Facility Description2-1
Section	n 3 Compliance Status3-1
Section	n 4 Remedial Actions4-1
Section	n 5 Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries5-1
Section	n 6 Annual Operating Expenditures6-1
	List of Tables
Table	
1	Summary Program Information for Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries - Coho (Type S)
2	Compliance with Performance Measures: Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries - Coho (Type S)
3	Remedial Actions Required at Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries - Coho (Type S)
4	Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries: Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries - Coho (Type S)
5	Annual Operating Expenses: Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries - Coho (Type S)
6	Annual Operating Expenses - Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries

Executive Summary

This report presents the findings of the independent audit of the Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries - Coho (Type S) program. The hatchery is located adjacent to the Lewis River, 3 miles downstream from Merwin Dam, about 8 miles east of Woodland, Washington. Speelyai hatchery is operated as a satellite facility to the Lewis River Hatchery. It is located in a mountainous area at the upper end of Lake Merwin on the Lewis River, approximately 21 miles east of Woodland. The hatchery is used for adult collection, incubation, and rearing of spring chinook, early coho (Type S), and late coho (Type N).

The audit was conducted in 1996-1997 as part of a 2-year effort that will include 67 hatcheries and satellite facilities located on the Columbia and Snake River system in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. The hatchery operating agencies include the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Background

The audit is being conducted as a requirement of the Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) "Strategy for Salmon" and the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. Under the audit, the hatcheries are evaluated against policies and related performance measures developed by the Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT). IHOT is a multi-agency group established by the NPPC to direct the development of new basinwide standards for managing and operating fish hatcheries. The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) contracted with Montgomery Watson to act as an independent contractor for the audit.

IHOT has established five basic policies that cover: (1) hatchery coordination, (2) hatchery performance standards, (3) fish health, (4) ecological interaction, and (5) genetics. The audit focuses on all these policies, with the exception of hatchery coordination. These policies are set forth in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (IHOT 1995)*. That document is the source for the performance measures that are the basis of this audit.

The Audit Process

The audit was based on the facility management's response to a 109-page questionnaire. This audit form was completed through a five-step process in which:

- Information was obtained from headquarters.
- The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the audit form.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted to inspect facilities, review hatchery records, discuss audit form responses, and develop remedial action plans.
- A compliance report was developed to document the compliance status of each performance measure. This report was then shared with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative.
- This hatchery evaluation report was written to document compliance with IHOT performance measures and develop cost estimates for remedial actions when needed.

Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries - Coho (Type S) Results

The Lewis River facility includes 12 concrete raceways, 2 rearing ponds, and incubation facilities. The Speelyai facility includes 1 adult holding pond, 12 concrete raceways, and 1 rearing pond. Lewis River and Speelyai hatcheries were originally constructed to provide mitigation for hydroelectric system development in the Lewis River System. An almost total remodel at Lewis River Hatchery was funded with state enhancement funds in 1979 and 1980. The goal of the hatchery is to produce adult coho and spring chinook that will contribute to NE Pacific and Columbia River Basin sport and commercial fisheries.

The Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries - Coho (Type S) program was in general compliance with most of the performance measures. In the area of program objectives, the hatchery was not meeting its adult return goal. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with the screen approach and screen mesh criteria, temperature criteria, water quality monitoring requirements, and pathology-free water criteria, which are all facilities requirements. The hatchery was not meeting the flow criteria for incubation, the loading criteria for raceways at Lewis River Hatchery, the loading criteria for the Lewis River Hatchery rearing ponds, and space and flow criteria for the Speelyai rearing ponds. The hatchery needed to develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan, smoltification goal, and smoltification monitoring plan. The hatchery was also not meeting all the alarm, food storage/quality control, transportation facilities, and sanitation requirements. The hatchery did not have a Genetics Monitoring and Evaluation Program. The hatchery needed to construct acclimation ponds for the fish released in the Upper Columbia River Basin.

The specific areas in which the Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries - Coho (Type S) program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Check flow alarms daily
- Build acclimation ponds for fish released in upper Columbia River Basin
- Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
- Develop approved genetics M&E plan
- Develop smoltification goal and monitor
- Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
- Follow IHOT incubation flow criteria or revise
- Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of interiors and exteriors of transport vehicle
- Install security alarms at Lewis River and Speelyai Hatcheries
- Purchase telephone pagers for 8 staff
- Reduce program at Speelyai Hatchery or revise piping system to provide more water to the raceways
- Reduce program at Lewis River Hatchery or revise piping system to provide more water to the rearing ponds
- Reduce program at Speelyai Hatchery or provide additional space and flow for the rearing ponds
- Replace screens at Speelyai Hatchery
- Run analysis for water quality parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants

- Sanitize equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock handling or spawning prior to its use elsewhere in the hatchery
- Sanitize rearing vessels after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

Facility Description

Name: Lewis River and Speelyai Hatcheries

Stock/Species: Spring Chinook

Coho (Type N) Coho (Type S)

Operating Agency: Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

Funding Agency: PacifiCorp

NMFS (Mitchell Act)

Location: The hatchery is located adjacent to the Lewis River, 3 miles

downstream from Merwin Dam, about 8 miles east of Woodland, Washington. Speelyai hatchery is operated as a satellite facility to the Lewis River Hatchery. It is located in a mountainous area at the upper end of Lake Merwin on the Lewis River, approximately 21 miles east

of Woodland.

Address: 4404 Lewis River Road

Woodland, WA 98674

Hatchery Manager: Mr. Robin Nicolay

Phone: (360) 225-2120 **Fax:** (360) 225-6330

Purpose: Lewis River and Speelyai hatcheries were originally constructed to

provide mitigation for hydroelectric system development in the Lewis River System. An almost total remodel at Lewis River Hatchery was funded with state enhancement funds in 1979 and 1980. The goal of the hatchery is to produce adult coho and spring chinook that will contribute to NE Pacific and Columbia River Basin sport and

commercial fisheries.

Production Goal: Lewis River Hatchery Production

Spring Chinook

Produce 900,000 yearlings for on-station release

Coho (Type N)

Produce 2,100,000 yearlings for on-station release

Provide 50,000 eggs to co-op programs

Provide eggs and fish to other facilities

Coho (Type S)

Produce 1,400,000 yearlings for on-station release

Produce 1,000,000 yearlings for upriver release

Produce 870,000 yearlings (from Speelyai Hatchery) for on-station release

Provide 14,000 eggs to co-op programs

Provide eggs and fish to other facilities

Speelyai Hatchery Production

Spring Chinook

Rear 315,000 spring chinook yearlings for transfer to Lewis River Hatchery

Coho (Type S)

Produce 200,000 yearlings for release into Lake Merwin

Provide 31,000 eggs/fish to co-op programs

Produce 870,000 yearlings (from Speelyai Hatchery) for on-station

release

Provide 870,000 eyed eggs to Lewis River Hatchery

Water Supply:

Lewis River Hatchery water rights total 38,613 gpm from three sources: the Lewis River, an unnamed stream, and Colvin Creek. Speelyai Hatchery water rights total 6,732 gpm from Speelyai Creek.

Facilities (Lewis River Hatchery:

Adult Holding: None

Incubation: 80 10-tray vertical stack incubators (800 trays)

Early Rearing: 5 deep troughs

Raceways: 12 concrete raceways - 4,000 cf each

Facilities (Speelyai Hatchery):

Adult Holding: 1 asphalt adult holding pond - 18,000 cf

Incubation: 50 10-tray vertical stack incubators (500 trays)

Early Rearing: 1 deep trough

Raceways: 12 concrete raceways - 7,200 cf each

Rearing Ponds: 1 asphalt rearing pond - 18,000 cf

Compliance Status

The hatchery audits are based on compliance with written IHOT performance measures. These performance measures are documented in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries* (referred to as *IHOT 1995* in this report). The purpose of the performance measures is to implement new basinwide policies that provide regional guidelines for operating anadromous hatcheries in the Columbia Basin.

The audit focuses on performance measures for IHOT policies that cover (1) hatchery performance standards, (2) fish health, (3) ecological interaction, and (4) genetics. These performance measures are intended to guide hatchery operations once production is established. For that reason, the hatchery operations audit included broodstock collection, spawning, incubation of eggs, fish rearing and feeding, fish release, equipment maintenance and operations, and personnel training. Production priorities are beyond the scope of this audit.

Based on *IHOT 1995*, a detailed 109-page audit form was developed. The audit form divided the performance measures into six major sections along major program and technical criteria areas. Two additional sections (sections 1 and 8) include general information and expenditure information needed for this Hatchery Evaluation Report and blank forms for additional comments. The following is the basic structure of the IHOT audit form:

Section 1	Performance Measures for General Information and Expenditure Information (PMs General 1-2)
Section 2	Performance Measures for Program Objectives (PMs 1-4)
Section 3	Performance Measures for Facility Requirements (PMs 5-15)
Section 4	Performance Measures for Hatchery Practices (PMs 16-25)
Section 5	Performance Measures for Fish Health Policy (PMs 26-34)
Section 6	Performance Measures for Ecological Interactions (PMs 35-38)
Section 7	Performance Measures for Genetics Policy (PMs 39-43)
Section 8	Blank Forms for Additional Comments.

Several performance measures are repeated in various sections of the audit form. These performance measures overlap in *IHOT 1995* and were retained to allow individuals interested in specific portions of the audit (such as Genetics or Fish Health) to determine the compliance status of all performance measures for a given topic in one location. A repeated performance measure is indicated by shaded text.

The Hatchery Audit Process

The hatchery audit will be conducted over a 2-year period that concludes in 1997. At each hatchery, a five-step process was used to complete the overall hatchery audit. This process

¹Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) 1995. *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries*, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.

consisted of research and onsite visits. The site visit at the Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries was conducted on March 10, 1997.

The following is the five-step audit process:

- 1. Information was obtained from headquarters.
- 2. The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the **Audit Form**.
- 3. A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted at each hatchery. During that visit an audit team inspected facilities, reviewed hatchery records, discussed audit form responses, and developed remedial action plans when appropriate.
- 4. During the site visit, the compliance status of each performance measure was discussed with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative. A portion of the Hatchery Evaluation Report was sent to the hatchery manager following the audit visit as a **Compliance Report**. That Compliance Report is Table 2 of this report.
- 5. Information from steps 1-4 was used to prepare a draft **Hatchery Evaluation Report**. This draft report was submitted to the operating agencies for review of the information used to determine compliance. Based on review and comments, a final Hatchery Evaluation Report was developed. The final report documents the compliance of a particular hatchery with the IHOT performance measures and presents cost estimates to correct any deficiencies.

Compliance Status of Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries - Coho (Type S)

The following table includes information on life-stages that are held on this facility for some portion of their rearing cycle (Table 1). For multi-facility programs, summary cost and contribution data is presented at the facility where rearing occurs. For the compliance status relating to performance measures that do not occur at this hatchery, please refer to the Hatchery Evaluation Reports for the hatcheries and stocks listed in Table 1. A check mark (\checkmark) indicates that the specific life-stage is held at this facility.

This section documents the compliance status of the Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries - Coho (Type S) program. Each performance measure is presented in a table taken from the audit form (Table 2). The compliance status is identified by the following categories:

- N/A (not applicable)
- Yes (in compliance)
- ? (unknown; generally due to unavailability of information to determine compliance)
- **No** (not in compliance).

Remedial actions are suggested for performance measures not in compliance. These remedial actions are grouped into categories and listed in Section 4 of this report, where the cost of the required remedial actions is also presented.

Table 1 Summary Program Information for Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries - Coho (Type S)

Component		Locatio	n of Adult Holding, Sp	pawning, Incubation, a	nd Rearing	
	Merwin Dam/Lewis River Hatchery	Speelyai Hatcheries	Lewis River Hatchery	Klickitat and Yakima Rivers	Lake Merwin (from Speelyai)	
Adult Collection	~					
Adult Holding		v				
Spawning		v				
Fertilization		✓				
Incubation						
green-to-eyed		v				
eyed-to-hatch			~			
Rearing						
fry			~			
fingerlings			~			
smolts			~			
Acclimation/release			~	~	~	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
the hatchery programs outlined in a subbasin nagement plan?		~	·		Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan, FERC Agreement 953- 000, and US v Oregon	
ne hatchery operating under a current hatchery rational plan?		~			IHOT Operations Plan	
s it understood by staff?		~				
s it being followed?		•		<u> </u> 		
hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?						
o you have a written monitoring and evaluation plan?		'				
ilt contribution to fisheries, spawning grounds, and chery		•			Review of records	
ılt pre-spawning survival as compared with blished goal		~			Review of records; in compliance 3 out of last 3 years	
-take as compared with established hatchery goal				~	Review of records; in compliance 2 out of last 3 years	Improve adult returns
en-egg to eyed-egg survival as compared with blished goal		~			Review of records; in compliance 4 out of last 4 years	
d-egg to fry survival as compared with established		•			Review of records; in compliance 4 out of last 4 years	
to smolt survival as compared with established goal		~			Review of records; in compliance 3 out of last 3 years	
duction as compared with established goal		~			Review of records; in compliance 3 out of last 3 years	
cent survival (smolt to adult) as compared with blished goal				~	Review of records; in compliance 1 out of last 5 years	Improve adult returns
nber of eggs, fry, fingerlings, smolts, and/or adults neet basinwide needs		~			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Statu	18	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		•
nperature loes your water temperature meet the criteria for pawning?				~	To meet criteria need to: Chill 1,200 gpm by 9°F (Speelyai)	None
loes your water temperature meet the criteria for icubation?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Does your water temperature meet the criteria for earing?				•	To meet criteria need to: Heat 7,500 gpm by 8°F (Lewis River) Chill 7,500 gpm by 2°F (Lewis River)	None
					To meet criteria need to: Heat 2,000 gpm by 5°F (Speelyai) Chill 2,000 gpm by 2°F (Speelyai)	
solved gases						
the oxygen level near saturation?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
s the dissolved nitrogen level less than saturation?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
emistry						
Immonia (un-ionized) Carbon Dioxide Chlorine H Copper Lydrogen Sulfide con line Chidity			************		No data See above	Run analysis See above
Ooes your turbidity meet the criteria?			~		No data	Run analysis

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	?	No		F		
alinity and hardness							
oes your alkalinity and hardness meet the criteria?			~		No data	Run analysis	
ite							
oes your nitrite meet the criteria?			~		No data	Run analysis	
ontaminants							
aldrin Endrin Dieldrin Eeptachlor Chlordane Methoxychlor Lindane Malathion Guthion			> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >		No data See above	Run analysis See above	
Adult holding Incubation Early rearing Rearing Others				7777	Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion	None See above See above See above	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•	
rm Systems							
To the following areas have alarms?							
Intake Large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds Raceway headboxes and rearing ponds Incubation facilities Quarantine areas and facilities Water treatment systems Security are there outside systems and buzzers in onsite esidences?	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	7777		~	Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion Inspection of facilities/Discussion No quarantine areas and facilities No water treatment systems Inspection of facilities/Discussion Discussion	Install security alarms at Lewis River and Speelyai Hatcheries	
re water flow alarms checked daily?				~	Review of records/Discussion	Check flow alarms daily	
are all other alarms checked weekly?		~			Discussion		
there a log of alarms for emergencies, tests, and naintenance requirements?		~			Review of records/Discussion		
are telephone pagers used?				~	Discussion	Purchase telephone pagers for 8 staff	
ılt collection and holding facilities							
Oo you meet the adult holding criteria?		~			Review of records/Discussion		

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	F
abation facilities						
ype 1: Vertical stack No you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
ype 2: <u>Deep troughs</u> No you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
ring facilities						
ype 1: <u>Raceways (Lewis River)</u> No you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
ype 2: Raceways (Speelyai) O you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
ype 3: Rearing Ponds (Lewis River) O you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
'ype 4: Rearing Ponds (Speelyai) No you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?				•	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	See PM #19
'ype 5: Net pens No you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
eening facilities						
To you meet the approach velocity criteria?			~		Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Replace screens at Speelyai Hatchery (9,200 gpm)
are the fish screens regularly cleaned?				~	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	See above
Does the screen mesh meet screen opening criteria?				~	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	See above
are rearing containers double screened for fish that hould not be released to adjacent water?	~				Release on-station	
dator control facilities						
are your predation control facilities effective?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•
d storage facilities and quality control						
Does the storage of dry/semi-moist/moist foods dry<12%; semi-moist 12-20%; moist >20% moisture) ollow food manufacturer's recommendations?		~		<u>.</u>	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Does a regional quality control officer oversee roduction procedures and monitor:						
Verification by feed manufacturer that ingredients meet specifications?				~	Discussion	Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation
Ensure feed does not contain unwanted drugs or other additives?				~	Discussion	See above
Analyze ingredients contained in the final food product to ensure that feed specifications have been met?				~	Discussion	See above
are the foods stored and handled according to the ollowing criteria?						
Moist pellets should not exceed 10 °F at point of delivery.		~			Discussion	
Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding.		~			Discussion	
Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat.		~			Discussion	
Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish.		~			Discussion	
Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive temperatures (80°F and above).	•				None in use	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		_
ease facilities						
To the release facilities ensure that fish are not ubjected to adverse conditions?		•			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
ution abatement facilities						
On the pollution abatement facilities meet all federal and state regulations (or good engineering practice)?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
re pollution abatement facilities operated correctly?		~			Discussion	
nsportation facilities						
re the transport systems adequate to meet IHOT erformance measures for transportation practices?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complia	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A Yes ? No		No	•	•	
odstock selection practices						
s the donor selection process document attached? (PM 40a)	~				Existing program; does not apply	
Vas the donor selection outline followed in selecting ne hatchery broodstock? (PM #40b-c)	•				Existing program; does not apply	
wning practices						
Vere the appropriate number of spawners, male/female atios, and fertilization protocols used? (PM #42c-g)		•			Review of records/Discussion	
abation practices						
specific incubation standards listed in the hatchery rations plan?				•	Reviewed IHOT Operations Plan	Develop specific incubation standards for the IHOT Operations Plan
incubation practices written?		~			See above	
ibation Type 1: <u>Vertical stack</u> (see PM #8) you meet the loading and flow criteria?			•		Review of records/Discussion	Follow IHOT flow criteria or revise criteria
ibation Type 2: <u>Deep troughs</u> (see PM #8) you meet the loading and flow criteria?			•		Review of records/Discussion	See above

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No	<u> </u>		
ring practices							
specific rearing standards listed in the hatchery rations plan?				•	Review IHOT Hatchery Operations Plan	Develop specific rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan	
rearing practices written?		~			See above		
tearing Unit Type 1: <u>Raceways -Lewis River</u> (see PM							
Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		~			Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion		
Rearing Unit Type 2: Raceways - Speelyai see PM #9) Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		•		~	Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	Reduce program at Speelyai Hatchery or revise piping system to provide more water to the raceways	
Pearing Unit Type 3: Rearing ponds -Lewis (see PM 9) Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?		•		~	Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	Reduce program at Lewis River Hatchery or revise piping system to provide more water to the rearing ponds	
tearing Unit Type 4: Rearing ponds -Speelyai (see M #9) Do you meet the density and DI criteria?				~	Review of records/Discussion	Provide additional space and flow for rearing ponds at Speelyai or change	
Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?				~	Review of records/Discussion	program at Speelyai Hatchery See above	
Learing Unit Type 5: Net pens (see PM #9) Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria? Olt quality	<i>V</i>				Not used for this program See above		
Do you produce a high quality smolt?				~	Density too high; have begun to reduce program	None	

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Stati	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	•	•
health management practices						
re the monthly hatchery monitoring visits being onducted? (PM #26)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
re the annual broodstock inspections being conducted? M #27)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
there pathogen-free water (PM #5h)and are the nitation procedures being followed? (PM #28)				~	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5h
re the following water quality parameters within iteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
Water temperature Dissolved gases		V		~	Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5a
Chemistry Turbidity			\(\sigma \)		Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5c See PM #5d
Alkalinity and hardness			~		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5d See PM #5e
Nitrite			~		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5f
Contaminants			~		Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5g
re rearing standards being followed? (PM #19)				~	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19
re egg and fish transfer/release requirements met? M #31)		~			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	1S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		.
s hatchery performance meet requirements ined in the regional hatchery policies and in basin and hatchery plans for the following areas?		- 52	,			
cent smoltification No you measure percent smoltification? No you have a smoltification goal Old you meet the smoltification criteria?			V	~	Discussion Discussion Discussion	Develop smoltification goal and monitor See above See above
ring density (prior to release)						
Did you meet the rearing density criteria just prior to elease?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
ease condition (at release)						
Did you meet all disease regulations just prior to elease?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
nber (at release)						
Did you meet the release number goal?		/			Review of records/Discussion	
Did you meet the size goal?		_			Review of records/Discussion	
es of release					Review of feeding/Discussion	
Did you meet the release date goal?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
ation of release						
Did you release the fish at the specified location?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the basin?						
are the fish reared in the subbasin?				•	Discussion. "No" applies to those groups (1/3 of program) hauled upriver	Build acclimation ponds for fish released in upper Columbia River Basin
are the fish acclimated in the subbasin?				~	See above	See above
ne release strategy appropriate for the program?		~			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	
nsportation facilities						
On transportation equipment and personnel receive isinfection before and after use?		~			Discussion	
s the fish tank interior disinfected using a solution of 00 ppm active chlorine for 30 minutes minimum or ormaldehyde gas generation method (relative humidity f 60% for 2 hrs)?		V			Discussion	
Is the exterior of the fish transport vehicle disinfected using high pressure steam (115-130°C), high temperature acid, or with 200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes?				•	Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of interiors and exteriors of transport vehicle
s the fish transport vehicle (cab) disinfected using 600 pm quaternary ammonia compounds (1.5 ml of 50% tock solution/liter water)?				~	Discussion	See above
s other equipment disinfected including fish pumps, ets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses and ther equipment using one of the following solutions?						
200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30 minutes						
200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes		/			Discussion	
To personnel wear protective garments when handling sh eggs or cultural water?		~			Discussion	
To the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive n inspection and service prior to the release season?		~			Discussion	
s a daily service inspection completed before starting p and leaving for the day?		~			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	_	-
nsportation facilities						
Does the fish transport unit receive an inspection prior bloading?		~			Discussion	
Does a pre-loading inspection covering tank water evel, pumps or aerators, oxygen injection system ettings, displacement gauge, and truck loading/hauling ensity tables checked and reviewed occur prior to pading fish in the transport unit?		~			Discussion	
On hauling criteria include checking the fish 45 minutes of 1 hour after loading?		~			Discussion	
When fish are active and systems are functioning roperly, is the oxygen concentration reduced and naintained at approximately 8 ppm?		~			Discussion	
water temperature in the transportation unit naintained within the 42-48 °F range?		~			Discussion	
To fish releasing procedures include the following riteria?						
Releasing the fish at the correct release site or into the correct water body.		~			Discussion	
Tempering or the difference between the liberation tank and the target water body should not exceed 10°F.		~			Discussion	
The liberation hose should be angled so that fish gently hit the water. Using a tripod is a method of ensuring the hose will stay at the proper angle.		~			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	
luation practices						
as the hatchery conducted fishery contribution studies o:						
Determine the requirements for evaluating and improving management programs?		~			Discussion	
Develop guidelines that define the geographical area and identify component stocks (hatchery and/or wild) that comprise the management unit?		~			Discussion	
Develop guidelines that define if the proper stocks of fish are currently being used?		~			Discussion	
Determine which management units contribute to a specific fishery and the time periods of those contributions?		~			Discussion	
Determine the relative contributions of the various management units to a specific fishery over the different time periods?		~			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	_
ning practices						
Does the hatchery have a training schedule for its staff?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Does each staff member have a personal training plan approved by a supervisor and reviewed annually?		•			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery routinely exchange training details between other hatcheries and agencies?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery encourage and reward off-duty training of staff?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery conduct monthly staff meetings?		~			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
monthly hatchery monitoring visits being ducted by a qualified fish health specialist as cribed below?						
Conduct visit at least monthly		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Ionitoring conducted by qualified fish health specialist		~			Review of records/Discussion	
xamine a representative sample of healthy and noribund fish from each lot.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
leview fish culture practices with hatchery manager.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
teport finding and results of necropsies on standard orm.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
lecommend appropriate drug or chemical treatment.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
ummarize fish health status or stock prior to release or ansfer to another facility.		•			Review of records/Discussion	
all of the functions of the hatchery yearly nitoring visits being completed as described below?						
annually examine each broodstock for the presence of eportable viral pathogens.		~			Review of records/Discussion	
annually screen each salmon broodstock for the resence of <i>Renibacterium salmoninarum</i> .		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Conduct inspection by or under the supervision of ualified fish health specialist.		~			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
ne hatchery following accepted sanitation cedures?						
re there any sources of pathogen-free water, especially or incubation and early rearing?		~			Discussion	
re the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and eing followed as described below?						
Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor?		•			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the incubation facility's entrance and exit?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use elsewhere in the hatchery?				•	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Sanitize equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock handling or spawning prio to its use elsewhere in the hatchery
Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior its use in another pond and/or lot of fish?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Is equipment, including vehicles used to transfer fish between facilities, disinfected prior to use with any other fish lots or at any other location?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Are rearing vessels sanitized after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock?				•	Done after experiencing an epizootic	Sanitize rearing vessels after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock
Are dead fish properly disposed of?		~			Inspection of facilities/Discussion	IISH IOU OF STOCK

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	_	•
water quality parameters being followed?						
are the following water quality parameters within riteria? (PM #5a-5g)						
Water temperature Dissolved gases Chemistry Turbidity Alkalinity and hardness Nitrite Contaminants		V	******	•	Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	See PM #5b See PM #5c See PM #5d See PM #5e See PM #5f
o to PM #21						
incubation and rearing standards being followed?						
Are the incubation practices following the IHOT incubation criteria? (PM #18)				~	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #18
Are the rearing practices following the IHOT criteria? (PM #19)				~	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #19
to to rearing practices PM #18-PM #19						
egg and fish transfer/release requirements met?		/			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
ne hatchery's program outlined in a subbasin		~			Columbia Basin System Planning	
nagement plan?					Production Plan and FERC Agreement 953-000	
o to subbasin plan PM #1				Ī		
ne hatchery operating under a current hatchery		~			Review IHOT Operations Plan	
rational plan?						
o to operational plan PM #2						
hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?						
o to hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan PM #3						

Description of Performance Measure	(Complian	ice Stati	1S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
the hatchery program meet requirements						
olished in the regional hatchery policies and						
asin planning documents in the following areas: les, stock, broodstock collection location,						
dstock numbers, broodstock collection strategy,						
spawning and egg-take protocols?						
oes the hatchery program meet the requirements for e following?						
Species protocols (PM #1)		•			Review of records/Discussion	
Stock protocols (PM #1)		•			Review of records/Discussion	
Broodstock collection location protocols (PM #41b for existing program; PM #39b for new program)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Broodstock numbers protocols (PM #42c)		•			Review of records/Discussion	
Broodstock collection strategy protocols (PM #41b-d for existing program; PM 39b-f for new program)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Spawning protocols (PM #42d-e)		•			Review of records/Discussion	
Egg-take protocols (PM #42f-g)		~			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•	
s the hatchery's performance meet requirements ined in the regional hatchery policies and in basin and hatchery plans for the following areas: cent smoltification, rearing density, disease dition, and the number, size date(s), and location of ase?							
ercent smoltification (PM #22a1)				~	Review of records/Discussion	See PM #22a1	
earing density (PM #22a2)		~			Review of records/Discussion		
visease condition (PM #22a3)		~			Review of records/Discussion		
Jumber at release (PM #22a4)		~			Review of records/Discussion		
ize at release (PM #22a5)		~			Review of records/Discussion		
Pate of release (PM #22a6)		~			Review of records/Discussion		
ocation of release (PM #22a7)		~			Review of records/Discussion		
fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the basin?				~	Discussion. See PM #22b	See PM #22b	
PM #22b		~			D: .		
ne release strategy appropriate for the program?		•			Discussion		
PM #22c							

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	ice Stati	us	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		Yes	Yes ?		1	_
new programs, has a broodstock collection plan n developed?						
the broodstock collection plan written?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
or a non-captive broodstock program:	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?						
Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	~				Existing Program; does not apply	
or a captive broodstock program:						
Were captive brood progeny excluded as donors for propagating the next generation of the captive broodstock program?	~				Existing Program; does not apply	
Were full-sib crosses avoided?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
s the broodstock collection plan understood and being ollowed by staff?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
a new program, was the donor selection outline owed in selecting the hatchery broodstock?						
s a donor selection plan written?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Vas the donor selection outline followed in selecting ne broodstock?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Vas the target stock recommended in the donor election process actually used?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	

Description of Performance Measure		Compliar	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
		N/A Yes ? No		No	_	-	
existing programs, were the broodstock collection cedures followed?							
s the broodstock collection plan written?		~			Review broodstock collection plan		
Ooes the broodstock collection plan follow the uideline:							
Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?		~			Discussion		
Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?		~			Discussion		
Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and followed?		~			Discussion		

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	-	
s the appropriate number of spawners, male/female os, and fertilization protocols used?							
are the spawning protocols written?		~			Review of spawning protocols		
are daily or weekly spawning logs available?		•			Review of records		
Vas the appropriate number of spawners used?		•		<u></u>	Discussion		
Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and andomize mating with respect to age class, and other raits?		•			Discussion		
Vas the sex-ratio within the limits given in the erformance standards?		~			Discussion		
Vere the fertilization protocols followed?		•			Discussion		
f the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs etained, was this done by representative sampling of ach male/female cross?		~			Discussion		

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status		IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance	
	N/A	Yes	?	No	_	_
nere a genetics monitoring and evaluation program lace?						
s a genetics monitoring and evaluation program vailable?				~	Manual provided; hatchery-specific plan not provided	Develop approved genetics M&E plan
Ooes the plan address the following elements listed in HOT:						
Does the program have elements needed to meet evaluation goals 1-4?				~	Discussion	See above
Has a qualified geneticist reviewed and endorsed the program (goal 5)?				~	Discussion	See above
Will the program collect the data and maintain the records needed to evaluate compliance on an ongoing basis (goal 5)?				•	Discussion	See above
Is the program understood and followed by staff?				~	Discussion	See above

Remedial Actions

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

The Five Types of Remedial Actions

The live Types of Remodial Actions					
Туре	Description				
1	Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery				
2	Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures				
3	Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval				
4	Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures				
5	Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time				

Remedial Actions at Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries - Coho (Type S)

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries - Coho (Type S) program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, <u>suggestions</u> developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates (\pm 40%).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action. Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries - Coho (Type S)

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs¹
Type 1 - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery		
Improve adult returns		4c. 4h
Type 2 - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures		
Check flow alarms daily		6
Conduct IHOT QA/QC tests for feed preparation		12
Follow IHOT incubation flow criteria or revise		18
Develop specific incubation and rearing standards for the IHOT Operations Plan		18-19
Develop smoltification goal and monitor		22a1
Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of interiors and exteriors of transport vehicle		23
Sanitize equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock handling or spawning prior to its use elsewhere in the hatchery		28
Sanitize rearing vessels after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock		28
Develop approved genetics M&E plan		43
Type 3 - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval		
Run analysis for water quality parameters, turbidity, alkalinity, hardness, nitrite, and contaminants		5c-5g

¹ PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs ¹
Type 4 - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures		
Install security alarms at Lewis River and Speelyai Hatcheries	\$25,000	6
Purchase telephone pagers for 8 staff	\$5,000	6
Replace screens at Speelyai Hatchery (20.5 cfs)	\$200,000	10
Reduce program at Speelyai Hatchery or revise piping system to provide more water to the raceways	\$100,000	
Reduce program at Lewis River Hatchery or revise piping system to provide more water to the rearing ponds	\$75,000	19
Build acclimation ponds for fish released in upper Columbia River Basin	\$1.0 million	22b
Type 5 - Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time		
None		

¹ PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries

This section presents the audit findings for the Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries - Coho (Type S) program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries: Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries - Coho (Type S)

Year	Fisheries ¹	Spawning Grounds ¹	Hatchery ¹	Total Combined Contribution ²	Smolt to Adult Survival (percent)
	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	
1981					
1982					
1983					
1984					
1985					
1986					
1987	765	9	250	1,024	1.39%
1988	2,479	29	1,587	4,095	5.57%
1989	546	2	219	767	1.02%
1990	160	2	80	242	0.32%
1991	3	3	224	230	0.32%
1992					

¹ Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

² Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.

Annual Operating Expenditures

The level and detail of annual operating expenditures varies widely depending on hatchery, operating agency, and funding source. When provided, expenditures were presented in terms of personnel costs, operating costs (power, feed, supplies), capital costs, indirect costs charged to the federal government, third-party costs, and other costs. These cost components were summed to determine a total hatchery annual cost. Based on discussion with the hatchery manager, the percent of total hatchery costs allocated to a given program was estimated. The total hatchery costs and the percent of hatchery costs allocated to a given program were used to compute the cost of a given program. Table 5 shows the annual operating expenses for the Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries - Coho (Type S) program. For programs that occur at more than one facility (as shown on Table 1 in Section 3 of this report), the cost breakdown for the component(s) at each facility is presented in separate tables (Table 5a).

Table 5. Annual Operating Expenses: Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries - Coho (Type S)

Hatchery	1994	1995	1996	
1. Lewis River/Speelyai	\$178,795	\$152,857	\$368,554	
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
Total Program Costs	\$178,795	\$152,857	\$368,554	

The total expenditures for the Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries are presented in Table 6 by program. The detailed breakdown of program expenditures at this hatchery are presented in separate tables (Table 6a, 6b, and 6c).

Table 6. Annual Operating Expenses - Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries

Program	1994	1995	1996
1. Spring Chinook	\$608,316	\$536,834	\$566,205
2. Coho (Type N)	\$239,421	\$531,943	\$548,372
3. Coho (Type S)	\$178,795	\$152,857	\$368,554
4.			
5.			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$1,027,562	\$1,222,858	\$1,486,104

Table 5a. Annual Operating Expenses: Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries - Coho (Type S)

Expenditure Occurring at Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries

Component	1993	1994	1995
Personnel Costs	\$242,208	\$259,378	\$231,569
Operational Costs	\$620,455	\$536,488	\$467,759
Capital Costs	\$248,000	\$244,000	\$600,000
Indirect Costs	\$164,899	\$182,992	\$186,776
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$1,027,562	\$1,222,858	\$1,486,104
Source of Funds			
PacifiCorp	66%	66%	66%
NMFS	34%	34%	34%
Program Production (lb)	61,102	64,637	124,836
Total Production (lb)	350,256	516,530	502,299
Program as Percent of Total	17.4%	12.5%	24.8%
Program Costs	\$178,795	\$152,857	\$368,554

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6a. Detailed Expenditures at Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries by Program

Spring Chinook

Component	1993	1994	1995
Personnel Costs	\$242,208	\$259,378	\$231,569
Operational Costs	\$620,455	\$536,488	\$467,759
Capital Costs	\$248,000	\$244,000	\$600,000
Indirect Costs	\$164,899	\$182,992	\$186,776
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹	, ,	. ,	. ,
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$1,027,562	\$1,222,858	\$1,486,104
Source of Funds			
PacifiCorp	66%	66%	66%
NMFS	34%	34%	34%
Program Production (lb)	207,364	227,194	191,622
Total Production (lb)	350,256	516,530	502,299
Program as Percent of Total	59.2%	43.9%	38.1%
Program Costs	\$608,316	\$536,834	\$566,205

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6b. Detailed Expenditures at Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries by Program

Coho (Type N)

Component	1993	1994	1995
Personnel Costs	\$242,208	\$259,378	\$231,569
Operational Costs	\$620,455	\$536,488	\$467,759
Capital Costs	\$248,000	\$244,000	\$600,000
Indirect Costs	\$164,899	\$182,992	\$186,776
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$1,027,562	\$1,222,858	\$1,486,104
Source of Funds			
PacifiCorp	66%	66%	66%
NMFS	34%	34%	34%
Program Production (lb)	81,790	224,699	185,841
Total Production (lb)	350,256	516,530	502,299
Program as Percent of Total	23.3%	43.5%	36.9%
Program Costs	\$239,421	\$531,943	\$548,372

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6c. Detailed Expenditures at Lewis River/Speelyai Hatcheries by Program

Coho (Type S)

Component	1993	1994	1995
Personnel Costs	\$242,208	\$259,378	\$231,569
Operational Costs	\$620,455	\$536,488	\$467,759
Capital Costs	\$248,000	\$244,000	\$600,000
Indirect Costs	\$164,899	\$182,992	\$186,776
Lumped Hatchery Costs ¹			
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$1,027,562	\$1,222,858	\$1,486,104
Source of Funds			
PacifiCorp	66%	66%	66%
NMFS	34%	34%	34%
Program Production (lb)	61,102	64,637	124,836
Total Production (lb)	350,256	516,530	502,299
Program as Percent of Total	17.4%	12.5%	24.8%
Program Costs	\$178,795	\$152,857	\$368,554

¹ When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.